

The extended moduli space of special Lagrangian submanifolds

S.A. Merkulov

Department of Mathematics, University of Glasgow

Abstract

It is well known that the moduli space of all deformations of a compact special Lagrangian submanifold X in a Calabi-Yau manifold Y within the class of special Lagrangian submanifolds is isomorphic to the first de Rham cohomology group of X . Reinterpreting the embedding data $X \subset Y$ within the mathematical framework of the Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization, we find a natural deformation problem which extends the above moduli space to the full de Rham cohomology group of X .

§1. Introduction

Let Y be a Calabi-Yau manifold of complex dimension m with Kähler form ω and a nowhere vanishing holomorphic m -form Ω . A compact real m -dimensional submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is called *special Lagrangian* if $\omega|_X = 0$ and $\text{Im } \Omega|_X = 0$. According to McLean [5], the moduli space of all deformations of X inside Y within the class of special Lagrangian submanifolds is a smooth manifold whose tangent space at X is isomorphic to the first de Rham cohomology group $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Moduli spaces of special Lagrangian submanifolds are playing an increasingly important role in quantum cohomology and related topics. On physical grounds, Strominger, Yau and Zaslow argued [10] that whenever a Calabi-Yau manifold Y has a mirror partner $\hat{Y}$, then Y admits a foliation $\rho : Y^{2m} \rightarrow B^m$ by special Lagrangian tori T^m and $\hat{Y}$ is the compactification of the family of dual tori $\hat{T}^m$ along the fibres of the projection ρ (for the mathematical account of this construction see [7]).

Recently, the mirror conjecture has been extended by Vafa [13], also on physical grounds, to Calabi-Yau manifolds $\hat{Y}$ equipped with stable vector bundles W . According to Vafa, the mirror partner of such a pair $(\hat{Y}, W)$ must be a triple (Y, X, L) consisting of a Calabi-Yau manifold Y , a compact special Lagrangian submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$ and a flat unitary line bundle L on X together with an isomorphism between the moduli space (with typical tangent space $H^1(\hat{Y}, \text{End } W)$) of all deformations of the holomorphic vector bundle $W \rightarrow \hat{Y}$, and the moduli space (with typical tangent space $H^1(X, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}$) associated with McLean's deformations of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow Y$ and deformations of the flat unitary line bundle L on X . Actually, Vafa conjectures that much more must be true:

$$H^*(\hat{Y}, \text{End } W) = H^*(X, \mathbb{R}) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

This raises a problem of finding a geometric interpretation of the full de Rham cohomology group of a special Lagrangian submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$.¹ Its solution is the main theme of the present paper. By moving into the mathematical realm of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization, we devise, out of the *same* data $X \hookrightarrow Y$, a deformation problem whose moduli space has the typical tangent space isomorphic to $H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ thereby extending McLean's moduli space to the full de Rham group. The idea is very simple.

First, out of Y we construct a real $(2m|2m)$ -dimensional supermanifold $\mathcal{Y} := \Pi\Omega^1 Y$, where $\Omega^1 Y$ is the *real* cotangent bundle and Π denotes the parity change functor. The supermanifold $\mathcal{Y}$ comes equipped with a complex structure and a nowhere vanishing holomorphic section, $\widehat{\Omega} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}, \text{Ber}_{\text{holo}}(\mathcal{Y}))$, of the holomorphic Berezinian bundle induced by the holomorphic m -form Ω on Y . We note that if $\mathcal{X} \subset \mathcal{Y}$ is an $(m|m)$ -dimensional real slice, then $\widehat{\Omega}$ restricts to $\mathcal{X}$ as a global no-where vanishing section of the bundle $\mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Ber}(\mathcal{X})$.

Second, we construct a real $(2m|2m+1)$ -dimensional supermanifold $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} := \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ and note that it comes canonically equipped with an odd exact contact structure represented by a 1-form $\widehat{\theta}$. We also note that the Kähler form ω on Y gives rise to an even smooth function $\widehat{\omega}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ and call an $(m|m)$ -dimensional sub-supermanifold $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ *special Legendrian* if the following conditions hold

$$\widehat{\theta}|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0, \quad \widehat{\omega}|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0, \quad \text{Im}(\widehat{\Omega}|_{p(\mathcal{X})}) = 0,$$

where p denotes the natural projection $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$.

If $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ is special Legendrian, then $\mathcal{X}_{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow Y$ is special Lagrangian. If $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is special Lagrangian (with normal bundle denoted by N), then the associated supermanifold $\mathcal{X} := \Pi N^*$ is a special Legendrian sub-supermanifold of $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$. However, the correspondence between the special Lagrangian submanifolds $X \hookrightarrow Y$ and special Legendrian sub-supermanifolds $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ is *not* one-to-one — passing from the Calabi-Yau manifold Y to the associated contact supermanifold $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ brings precisely the right amount of new degrees of freedom to extend McLean's moduli space $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ to the full de Rham group $H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$.

Main theorem. *Let $X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a compact special Lagrangian submanifold of a Calabi-Yau manifold and let $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^* \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the associated special Legendrian sub-supermanifold of the contact supermanifold. The maximal moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of deformations of $\mathcal{X}$ inside $\mathcal{Y}$ within the class of special Legendrian sub-supermanifolds is a smooth supermanifold whose tangent superspace at $\mathcal{X}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\Pi H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

In view of Vafa's conjectures, it is important to study geometric structures induced on $\mathcal{M}$ from the original data $X \hookrightarrow Y$ (cf. [3, 11]). It should be also noted that

The paper is organised as follows. In §2 and 3 we study extended moduli spaces of general compact submanifolds X in a manifold Y . In §4 we specialize to the case when the ambient manifold Y has a symplectic structure and the submanifolds $X \hookrightarrow Y$

¹This also raises much easier problems of extending the moduli space of holomorphic vector bundles W on $\hat{Y}$ with typical tangent space $H^1(\hat{Y}, \text{End } W)$ to a supermanifold with typical tangent superspace $H^*(\hat{Y}, \text{End } W)$, and extending the moduli space of flat unitary line bundles on X with typical tangent space $H^1(X, \mathbb{R})$ to a supermanifold with typical tangent superspace $H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$; the latter two extensions are very straightforward within the Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism and will be discussed elsewhere.

are Lagrangian. In §5 we consider another special case when Y is a complex manifold equipped with a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic volume form Ω and $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a real slice of Y satisfying $\text{Im } \Omega|_X = 0$. Finally, in §6 we combine all the previous results to prove the Main Theorem.

§2. Extended Kodaira moduli spaces

2.1. Families of compact submanifolds. Let Y and M be smooth manifolds and let $\pi_1 : Y \times M \rightarrow Y$ and $\pi_2 : Y \times M \rightarrow M$ be the natural projections. A *family of compact submanifolds of the manifold Y with the moduli space M* is a submanifold $F \hookrightarrow Y \times M$ such that the restriction, ν , of the projection π_2 on F is a proper regular map. Thus the family F has the structure of a double fibration

$$Y \xleftarrow{\mu} F \xrightarrow{\nu} M,$$

where $\mu \equiv \pi_1|_F$. For each $t \in M$, there is an associated compact submanifold X_t in Y which is said to belong to the family F . Sometimes we use a more explicit notation $\{X_t \hookrightarrow Y \mid t \in M\}$ to denote the family F of compact submanifolds. The family F is called *maximal* if for any other family $\tilde{F} \hookrightarrow Y \times \tilde{M}$ such that $\mu \circ \nu^{-1}(t) = \tilde{\mu} \circ \tilde{\nu}^{-1}(\tilde{t})$ for some points $t \in M$ and $\tilde{t} \in \tilde{M}$, there is a neighbourhood $\tilde{U} \subset \tilde{M}$ of the point $\tilde{t}$ and a smooth map $f : \tilde{U} \rightarrow M$ such that $\tilde{\mu} \circ \tilde{\nu}^{-1}(\tilde{t}') = \mu \circ \nu^{-1}(f(\tilde{t}'))$ for every $\tilde{t}' \in \tilde{U}$.

Similar definitions can be made in the category of complex manifolds, category of (complex) supermanifolds and category of analytic (super)spaces.

2.2. The Kodaira map. Consider a 1-parameter family, $F \hookrightarrow Y \times M$, of compact sub(super)manifolds in a (super)manifold Y , where $M = \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ with the natural coordinate denoted by t (such a family is often called a *1-parameter deformation of the sub(super)manifold $X = \mu \circ \nu^{-1}(0)$*). There is a finite covering $\{U_i\}$ of F such that the restriction to each U_i of the ideal sheaf J_F of $F \hookrightarrow Y \times M$ is finitely generated, say $J_F|_{U_i} = \langle f_i^\alpha \rangle$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, \text{codim } F$. It is easy to see that the family $\{\frac{\partial f_i^\alpha}{\partial t} \bmod J_F\}$ defines a global section of the normal bundle, N_F , of the embedding $F \hookrightarrow Y \times M$ and hence gives rise to a morphism of sheaves,

$$\begin{aligned} k : TM &\longrightarrow \nu_*^0 N_F \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} &\longrightarrow \left\{ \frac{\partial f_i^\alpha}{\partial t} \bmod J_F \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

This morphism, or rather its restriction

$$k_t : T_t M \longrightarrow H^0(X_t, N_t),$$

where $N_t \simeq N_F|_{\nu^{-1}(t)}$ is the normal bundle of $X_t \hookrightarrow Y$, is called the *Kodaira map*.

If M is the 1-tuple point $\mathbb{R}[t]/t^2$, then the family F is called an *infinitesimal deformation* of $X = \mu \circ \nu^{-1}(0)$ in Y . The Kodaira map establishes a one-to-one correspondence between all possible infinitesimal deformations of X inside Y and the vector superspace $H^0(X, N)$. Often in this paper we shall be interested in deformations of X inside Y within

a class of *special* (say, complex, Lagrangian, Legendrian, etc.) submanifolds. The associated set of all possible infinitesimal deformations of X is a vector subspace of $H^0(X, N)$ called the *Zariski tangent space at X to the moduli space of (special) compact submanifolds*. Note that we do *not* require that any element of the Zariski tangent space at X necessarily exponentiates to a genuine 1-parameter deformation of X . Put another way, the Zariski tangent space to the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ makes sense even when $\mathcal{M}$ does not exist as a smooth manifold!

2.3. From manifolds to supermanifolds. Given a compact submanifold, $X \hookrightarrow Y$, of a smooth manifold Y . The associated exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow TX \longrightarrow TY|_X \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow 0$$

implies the canonical map

$$\Lambda^*TY|_X \longrightarrow \Lambda^*N \longrightarrow 0$$

which in turn implies the canonical embedding, $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$, of the associated supermanifolds $\mathcal{X} := (X, \Lambda^*N)$ and $\mathcal{Y} := (Y, \Lambda^*TY)$ (cf. [8, 9]). In more geometrical terms, $\mathcal{X} \simeq \Pi N^*$, $\mathcal{Y} \simeq \Pi\Omega^1 Y$ and $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ corresponds just to the natural inclusion, $\Pi N^* \subset \Pi\Omega^1 M|_X$. The supermanifold $\mathcal{Y}$ comes equipped canonically with an even *Liouville* 1-form θ defined, in a natural local coordinate system $(x^a, \psi_a \simeq \Pi\partial/\partial x^a)$ on $\mathcal{Y}$, as follows

$$\theta = \sum_{a=1}^n dx^a \psi_a, \quad n = \dim Y.$$

The odd two-form

$$\eta := d\theta = - \sum_{a=1}^n dx^a \wedge d\psi_a$$

is non-degenerate and hence equips $\mathcal{Y}$ with an odd symplectic structure.

A $(p, n-p)$ -dimensional sub-supermanifold $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is called *Lagrangian* if $\eta|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0$ (this implies, in particular, that $\theta|_{\mathcal{X}}$ is closed). It is called *exact Lagrangian* if $\theta|_{\mathcal{X}}$ is an exact 1-form on $\mathcal{X}$.

2.3.1. Lemma. *For any submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$, the associated sub-supermanifold $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is exact Lagrangian.*

Proof. Assume $\dim X = p$. We can always choose a local coordinate system (U, x^a) in a tubular neighbourhood U of (a part of) X inside Y in such a way that $X \cap U = \{x^a = 0, a = p+1, \dots, n\}$. Then the normal bundle N of $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is locally generated by $\partial/\partial x^a$ with $a = p+1, \dots, n$. Hence $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is locally given by the equations $x^a = 0, \psi_b = 0$ where $a = p+1, \dots, n$ and $b = 1, \dots, p$. It is now obvious that $\theta|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0$. Finally, $\dim \mathcal{X} = (p, n-p)$. $\square$

2.3.2. Remark. It also follows from the above proof that, for any submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$ with the normal bundle N_X , $\theta|_{\Pi N_X^*} = 0$. It is not hard to check that the reverse is also true: if $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an $(p|n-p)$ -dimensional sub-supermanifold such that $\theta|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0$, then $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^* X$ for some submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$.

2.4. The extended Kodaira map. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a Lagrangian sub-supermanifold of a supermanifold $\mathcal{Y}$ equipped with an odd symplectic structure η . Then, as usually, one

gets an odd isomorphism $j : \Omega^1 \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{\eta^{-1}} \mathcal{N}$, where $\mathcal{N}$ is the normal bundle of $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. In particular, there is a monomorphism of sheaves,

$$i : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{j \circ d} \mathcal{N},$$

where d is the exterior derivative.

Consider now a one (even or odd) parameter family of compact exact Lagrangian sub-supermanifolds of the supermanifold $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi \Omega^1 Y$, i.e. a double fibration

$$\mathcal{Y} \xleftarrow{\mu} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\nu} M,$$

with ν being a proper submersion and $\mathcal{X}_t := \mu \circ \nu^{-1}(t)$ being a compact exact Lagrangian sub-supermanifold of $(\mathcal{Y}, \eta)$ for every $t \in M \subset \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$.

2.4.1. Lemma. *For the family $\{\mathcal{X}_t \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} | t \in M\}$ as above the Kodaira map $k_t : T_t M \rightarrow H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, N_t)$ factors as follows*

$$k_t : T_t M \xrightarrow{k'} H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}_t})/\mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{i} H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{N}_t).$$

Proof. Since $\mu^*(\eta)|_{X_t} = 0$, we have

$$\mu^*(\eta) = A \wedge dt$$

for some 1-form A on $\mathcal{F}$ whose restriction to $\nu^{-1}(t)$ represents, under the isomorphism $j : \Omega^1 \mathcal{X}_t \xrightarrow{\eta^{-1}} \mathcal{N}_t$, the normal vector field $k_t(\partial/\partial t)$. On the other hand,

$$\mu^*(\theta) = \Psi dt + dB,$$

for some smooth functions Ψ and B on $\mathcal{F}$ with parities $\tilde{\Psi} = \tilde{t} + 1$ and $\tilde{B} = 1^2$. Thus $A = d\Psi$ completing the proof. $\square$

2.4.2. Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a compact exact Lagrangian sub-supermanifold of an odd symplectic supermanifold $\mathcal{Y}$. Then the Zariski tangent space at $\mathcal{X}$ to the moduli space of all deformations of $\mathcal{X}$ within the class of exact Lagrangian sub-supermanifolds is isomorphic to $H^0(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})/\mathbb{R}$.*

2.4.3. Definition. If $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi \Omega^1 Y$ and $\mathcal{X}_t \simeq \Pi N_t^*$, where N_t is the normal bundle of some submanifold $X_t \hookrightarrow Y$, then $H^0(\mathcal{X}_t, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})/\mathbb{R} \simeq H^0(X_t, \Lambda^* N_t)/\mathbb{R}$. The associated map $k' : T_t M \rightarrow H^0(X_t, \Lambda^* N_t)/\mathbb{R}$ is called the *extended Kodaira map*.

2.5. Extended Kodaira moduli space. Kodaira [4] proved that if $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a compact complex submanifold of a complex manifold with $H^1(X, N) = 0$, then there exists a maximal moduli space M parametrizing all possible deformations of X inside Y whose tangent space at the point X is isomorphic to $H^0(X, N)$.

²Here and elsewhere $\tilde{\cdot}$ stands for the parity of the kernel symbol.

With the same data $X \hookrightarrow Y$ one associates a pair $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} = \Pi \Omega^1 Y$ and asks for all possible holomorphic deformations of $\mathcal{X}$ inside $(\mathcal{Y}, \eta)$ within the class of complex exact Lagrangian sub-supermanifolds.

2.5.1. Theorem. *Let $X \hookrightarrow Y$ be a compact complex submanifold of a complex manifold and $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ the associated compact complex exact Lagrangian sub-supermanifold. If $H^1(X, \Lambda^k N) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$, then there exists a maximal moduli space $\mathcal{M}$, called the extended Kodaira moduli space, which parametrizes all possible deformations of $\mathcal{X}$ inside $(\mathcal{Y}, \eta)$ within the class of complex exact Lagrangian sub-supermanifolds. Its tangent space at the point $\mathcal{X}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\sum_{k \geq 1} H^0(X, \Lambda^k N)$, with the following $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading: $[\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{M}]_0 = \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{Z}+1} H^0(X, \Lambda^k N)$ and $[\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{M}]_1 = \sum_{k \in 2\mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, \Lambda^k N)$.*

Proof is routine, cf. [4, 6].

2.5.2. Example. Let X be a projective line $\mathbb{CP}^1$ embedded into a complex 3-fold Y with normal bundle $N = \mathcal{O}(1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(1)$. In this case the Kodaira moduli space is a complex 4-fold M canonically equipped, according to Penrose, with a self-dual conformal structure, while the extended Kodaira moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ is a $(4|3)$ -dimensional supermanifold isomorphic to $\Pi \Omega_+^2 M$, where $\Omega_+^2 M$ is the bundle of self-dual 2-forms on M .

§3. Restoring the lost constants

3.1. Odd contact structure. Let X be a compact submanifold of a manifold Y . It is shown in §2 that the Zariski tangent space to the extended moduli space of Lagrangian deformations of $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^*$ inside $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi \Omega^1 Y$ is $\Pi H^0(X, \Lambda^* N)/\mathbb{R}$. One can easily restore the lost constants $\mathbb{R}$ by extending $\mathcal{Y}$ to an odd contact supermanifold $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ and studying *Legendrian* families of compact sub-supermanifolds in $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Consider $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} := \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ and define a 1-form on $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$

$$\widehat{\theta} = d\varepsilon + p^*(\theta),$$

where $p: \widehat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is the natural projection and ε is the standard coordinate on $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$. The form $\widehat{\theta}$ defines an odd contact structure on $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$.

3.1.1. Lemma. *For any submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$, the associated sub-supermanifold $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^* \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ is Legendrian with respect to the odd contact structure θ .*

Proof. $\widehat{\theta}|_{\mathcal{X}} = d\varepsilon|_{\mathcal{X}} + p^*(\theta)|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0 + 0 = 0$. $\square$

Thus one can associate with data $X \hookrightarrow Y$ the moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of all possible deformations of $\mathcal{X}$ inside $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ within the class of Legendrian sub-supermanifolds.

3.1.2. Proposition. *The Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{M}$ at $\mathcal{X}$ is $\Pi H^0(X, \Lambda^* N)$.*

Proof. If $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}} \xleftarrow{\hat{\mu}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\nu} M \subset \mathbb{R}^{1|0}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ is a 1-parameter family of compact Legendrian sub-supermanifolds, then

$$\hat{\mu}^*(\widehat{\theta}) = \Psi dt$$

for some $\Psi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{F}})$ with $\tilde{\Psi} = \tilde{t} + 1$ (compare this with $\mu^*(\eta) = d\Psi \wedge dt$ in 2.4.1). The restriction of Ψ to $\nu^{-1}(t)$ represents the image of $\partial/\partial t$ under the extended Kodaira map. $\square$

3.2. Remark. If $\{\mathcal{X}_t \hookrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}} \mid t \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a family of compact Legendrian sub-supermanifolds, then $\{p(\mathcal{X}_t) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \mid t \in \mathcal{M}\}$ is a family of *exact* Lagrangian sub-supermanifolds.

3.3. An important observation. If (Y, ω) is a symplectic manifold and $X \hookrightarrow Y$ a Lagrangian submanifold with respect to ω , then the normal bundle N is canonically isomorphic to $\Omega^1 X$. Thus the associated extended Zariski tangent space is isomorphic to $\Omega^* X$.

§4. Even + odd symplectic structures

4.1. Isotropic Lagrangian sub-supermanifolds. In this section we assume that Y is an even $2m$ -dimensional symplectic manifold. The symplectic 2-form ω on Y gives rise to a global even function $\hat{\omega}$ on the associated odd symplectic supermanifold $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi\Omega^1 Y$ (and hence on $\hat{\mathcal{Y}} = \mathcal{Y} \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}$) defined, in a natural local coordinate system $(x^a, \psi_a = \Pi\partial/\partial x^a)$, as follows

$$\hat{\omega} = \sum_{a,b=1}^{2m} \omega^{ab}(x) \psi_a \psi_b,$$

where $\omega^{ab}(x)$ is the matrix inverse to the matrix $\omega_{ab}(x)$ of components of ω in the basis dx^a . The latter function gives rise to an odd Hamiltonian vector field Q on $\mathcal{Y}$ (or a contact vector field Q on $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$) defined by

$$Q \lrcorner \eta = d\hat{\omega},$$

and is given, in a natural local coordinate system, by

$$Q = \sum_{a,b} \omega^{ab} \psi_b \frac{\partial}{\partial x^a} + \sum_{a,b,c,d,e} w^{ad} \frac{\partial \omega_{bc}}{\partial x^a} \omega^{ce} \psi_e \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_b}.$$

Differential forms on Y can be identified with smooth functions on the supermanifold ΠTY , $\Gamma(Y, \Omega^* Y) = \Gamma(\Pi TY, \mathcal{O}_{\Pi TY})$. Under this identification the de Rham differential $d : \Omega^* Y \rightarrow \Omega^* Y$ corresponds to an odd vector field d on ΠTY satisfying $d^2 = 0$. The even symplectic form ω establishes an isomorphism $\phi : \Pi TY \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and hence maps d into an odd vector field $\phi_* d$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ which, as it is not hard to check [1], coincides precisely with Q . This observation implies, in particular, that $Q^2 = 0$ and $Q\hat{\omega} = 0$.

4.1.1 Definition. A Lagrangian (resp. Legendrian) sub-supermanifold of $(\mathcal{Y}, \eta)$ (resp. $(\hat{\mathcal{Y}}, \hat{\theta})$) is called ω -isotropic if $\hat{\omega}|_{\mathcal{X}} = 0$ (resp. $\hat{\omega}|_{p(\mathcal{X})} = 0$).

If $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is ω -isotropic, then $Q|_{\mathcal{X}} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}\mathcal{X})$.

4.1.2 Lemma. Let (Y, ω) be a symplectic manifold and let $\mathcal{Y} := \Pi\Omega^1 Y$.

(i) If $\mathcal{X}$ is a compact $(m|m)$ -dimensional ω -isotropic Lagrangian submanifold $(\mathcal{Y}, \eta)$, then $\mathcal{X}_{red}$ is a compact Lagrangian submanifold of (Y, ω) .

(ii) Let X be a compact Lagrangian submanifold of (Y, ω) . Then the associated compact $(m|m)$ -dimensional sub-supermanifold $\mathcal{X} := \Pi N_X^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is ω -isotropic. Moreover, under the isomorphism $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \Gamma(X, \Omega^* X)$ the vector field $Q|_{\mathcal{X}}$ goes into the usual de Rham differential d on X .

Proof is very straightforward when one uses Darboux coordinates.

4.2 Normal exponential map. Let X be an r -dimensional compact manifold of an n -dimensional manifold Y and let $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^*$ be the associated Lagrangian sub-supermanifold of the odd symplectic supermanifold $(\mathcal{Y} = \Pi \Omega^1 Y, \eta)$.

4.2.1. Lemma. *There exist*

- a tubular neighbourhood $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\mathcal{Y}$,
- a tubular neighbourhood $\mathcal{V}$ of $0_{\mathcal{X}}$ in $\Pi \Omega^1 \mathcal{X}$, where $0_{\mathcal{X}} \simeq \mathcal{X}$ is the zero section of the bundle $\Pi \Omega^1 \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$,
- a diffeomorphism $\exp : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$,

such that

(i) $\exp|_{0_{\mathcal{X}}} : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is the identity map, and

(ii) $\exp^*(\theta) - \theta_0 = dF$ for some $F \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$,

where θ is the Liouville form on $\Pi \Omega^1 Y$ and θ_0 is the Liouville form on $\Pi \Omega^1 \mathcal{X}$. In particular, $\exp^*(\eta) = \eta_0$, where η_0 is the natural odd symplectic structure on $\Pi \Omega^1 \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. There is a tubular neighbourhood U of $\mathcal{X}_{red}$ in Y which can be identified via the normal exponential map with a tubular neighbourhood $V \subset N$ of the zero section of the normal bundle N of X in Y . These neighbourhoods and the exponential map have a canonical extension to the map $\exp : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ which has the property (i). We only have to check the validity of (ii). Let $(x^\alpha, x^{\dot{\alpha}})$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, r$, $\dot{\alpha} = r+1, \dots, n$, be a local trivialisation of N , where x^α are local coordinates on the base of N and $x^{\dot{\alpha}}$ are the fibre coordinates. In the associated local coordinate system $(x^\alpha, x^{\dot{\alpha}}, \psi_\alpha := \Pi \partial / \partial x^\alpha, \psi_{\dot{\alpha}} := \Pi \partial / \partial x^{\dot{\alpha}})$ on $\mathcal{V} \subset \Pi \Omega^1 \mathcal{X}$ the zero section $0_{\mathcal{X}}$ is given by the equations $x^{\dot{\alpha}} = \psi_\alpha = 0$. We have

$$\exp^*(\theta) = dx^\alpha \Pi \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + dx^{\dot{\alpha}} \Pi \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\dot{\alpha}}} = dx^\alpha \psi_\alpha + dx^{\dot{\alpha}} \psi_{\dot{\alpha}},$$

and

$$\theta_0 = dx^\alpha \Pi \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + d\psi_{\dot{\alpha}} \Pi \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}} = dx^\alpha \psi_\alpha - d\psi_{\dot{\alpha}} x^{\dot{\alpha}}.$$

Hence $\exp^*(\theta) - \theta_0 = d(\psi_{\dot{\alpha}} x^{\dot{\alpha}})$. Since $\psi_{\dot{\alpha}} x^{\dot{\alpha}}$ is an invariant, the statement follows. $\square$

4.2.2. Remark. The above Lemma establishes a one-to one correspondence between nearby to $\mathcal{X}$ exact Lagrangian sub-supermanifolds and global exact differential forms on $\mathcal{X}$. Note, however, that this correspondence is not canonical but depends on the choice of the normal exponential map $\exp : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$. If f is a global odd section of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ (such that

$df \in \mathcal{V} \subset \Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X}$) and $\mathcal{X}_{df} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is the associated Lagrangian sub-supermanifold, then we have a diffeomorphism

$$\exp_{df} : \mathcal{X} \xrightarrow{df} \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{X}_{df}.$$

Consider now a particular case when Y is a $2m$ -dimensional symplectic manifold (Y, ω) and $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is a compact Lagrangian submanifold with respect to ω . In this case the normal bundle N of X in Y is isomorphic to $\Omega^1 X$ and hence the total space of N is naturally a symplectic manifold implying that $\Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X}$ (with $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^*$) comes canonically equipped with an odd vector field Q_0 such that $Q_0^2 = 0$ (cf. subsection 4.1). Since the normal exponential map $N \supset V \xrightarrow{\exp} U \subset Y$ can be chosen to be a symplectomorphism, the associated extended exponential map $\Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X} \supset \mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{U} \subset \Pi\Omega^1 Y$ can be chosen to satisfy the additional property

$$\exp_*(Q_0) = Q.$$

Note also that the isomorphism $N = \Omega^1 X$ implies $\mathcal{X} = \Pi\mathcal{T}X$ which in turn implies $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \Omega^* X$. Then we have

4.2.3. Lemma. *For any $(\mathcal{U}, \mathcal{V}, \exp)$ as above and any exact Lagrangian submanifold $\mathcal{X}_{df} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$, the function $\exp_{df}^*(\widehat{\omega}|_{\mathcal{X}_{df}}) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \Omega^* X$ defines a closed (non-homogeneous, in general) differential form on X .*

Proof. Let $\phi_{df} : \Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X}$ be a translation by df along the fibres of the projection $\Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$. In the natural coordinates on $\Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} [Q_0 - (\phi_{df})_* Q_0] \exp^*(\widehat{\omega}) &= \left[\sum_{\alpha} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\alpha}} + \sum_{\beta} \psi_{\beta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^{\beta} \partial \psi_{\alpha}} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \psi_{\beta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \psi_{\alpha}} \right] \sum_{\gamma} \psi_{\gamma} \psi_{\gamma} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \psi_{\alpha} \psi_{\beta} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0|_{\mathcal{X}} (\exp_{df}^*(\widehat{\omega}|_{\mathcal{X}_{df}})) &= Q_0|_{\mathcal{X}} (\phi_{df}^* \circ \exp^*(\widehat{\omega}))|_{\mathcal{X}} \\ &= [\phi_{df}^* \circ (Q_0 \exp^*(\widehat{\omega}))]|_{\mathcal{X}} \\ &= [\phi_{df}^* \circ \exp^*(Q\widehat{\omega})]|_{\mathcal{X}} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then the statement follows from the fact that under the isomorphism $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) = \Omega^* X$ the vector field $Q_0|_{\mathcal{X}} \in \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{T}\mathcal{X})$ goes into the de Rham differential on $\Omega^* X$ (cf. Lemma 4.1.2(ii)). $\square$

4.3. Moduli space of isotropic sub-supermanifolds. Given a compact Lagrangian submanifold X of a symplectic manifold (Y, ω) . With these data one may associate the extended moduli space $\mathcal{M}$ of all possible deformations of $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^*$ inside $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ within the class of Legendrian, ω -isotropic sub-supermanifolds.

4.3.1. Theorem. *The Zariski tangent space to $\mathcal{M}$ is $\Pi H^0(X, \Omega^* X_{\text{closed}})$, where $\Omega^* X_{\text{closed}}$ is the sheaf of closed differential forms on X .*

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{X}_t \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}} | t \in M\}$ be a 1-parameter family of ω -isotropic Legendrian submanifolds, and let

$$\mathcal{Y} \xleftarrow{\mu} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\nu} M,$$

be an associated 1-parameter family of Lagrangian, ω -isotropic sub-supermanifolds. The vector field V_f on $\mathcal{Y}$ gives rise to a vector field on $\mathcal{Y} \times M$ (denoted by the same letter) which is tangent to $\mathcal{F} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \times M$. We have

$$V_f|_{\mathcal{F}} \lrcorner \mu^*(\eta) = (V_f|_{\mathcal{F}} \lrcorner d\Psi) \wedge dt,$$

implying

$$\mu^*(df) = (V_f|_{\mathcal{F}} \Psi) dt.$$

Since $\mu^*(df) = d(f|_{\mathcal{F}}) = 0$, we get $V_f|_{\mathcal{F}} \Psi = 0$. Finally, the required statement follows 4.1.1(ii) which says that $V_f|_{\mathcal{F}}$ is essentially the de Rham differential. $\square$

§5. Moduli spaces of special real slices

5.1. Batalin-Vilkovisky structures. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be an $(n|n)$ -dimensional compact supermanifold equipped with an odd symplectic form η and an even nowhere-vanishing section μ of the Berezinian bundle $\text{Ber}(\mathcal{Y})$. Such data have been extensively studied by A.S. Schwarz in [8, 9] in the context of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization.

The volume form μ induces the Berezin integral, $\int_{\mu} f$, on smooth functions f on $\mathcal{Y}$. In particular, μ gives rise to a divergence operator $\text{div } V$ on smooth vector fields V on $\mathcal{Y}$ which can be characterized by the formula [2]

$$\int (\text{div } V) f \mu = - \int V(f) \mu.$$

If x^a is a local coordinate system on $\mathcal{Y}$ and $D^*(dx^a)$ the associated local basis of $\text{Ber}(\mathcal{Y})$, then $\mu = \rho(x) D^*(dx^a)$ for some even nowhere-vanishing even function $\rho(x)$ and

$$\text{div } V = \frac{1}{\rho} (-1)^{\tilde{a}(1+\tilde{V})} \frac{\partial(V^a \rho)}{\partial x^a},$$

where $\tilde{a}$ is the parity of x^a and V^a are the components of V in the basis $\partial/\partial x^a$. Another possible definition, which also works in the holomorphic category, is

$$\text{div } V = \frac{L_V \mu}{\mu},$$

where L_V stands for the Lie derivative along the vector field V .

In particular, if V_f is the hamiltonian vector field on $\mathcal{Y}$ associated to a smooth function $f \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$, then one defines a second order operator,

$$\Delta f := \frac{1}{2} \text{div } V_f.$$

Note that this operator depends solely on μ and η . The situations when $\Delta^2 = 0$ are of special interest in the context of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization. The data $(\mathcal{Y}, \eta, \mu)$ with property $\Delta^2 = 0$ are sometimes called *SP-manifolds* [8, 9] or *Batalin-Vilkovisky supermanifolds* [2]. The structure $(\mathcal{Y}, \eta, \mu)$ which arises in the context of Calabi-Yau manifolds does actually satisfy the requirement $\Delta^2 = 0$, see §6.

5.2. Integration on Lagrangian sub-supermanifolds. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ again be an $(n|n)$ -dimensional compact oriented supermanifold equipped with an odd symplectic form η and an even nowhere-vanishing section Ω of the Berezinian bundle $\text{Ber}(\mathcal{Y})$, and let $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a compact $(r|n-r)$ -dimensional Lagrangian sub-supermanifold. Then the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{X} \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}\mathcal{Y}|_{\mathcal{X}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \longrightarrow 0$$

and the isomorphism $\mathcal{N} \simeq \Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X}$ imply

$$\text{Ber}(\mathcal{Y})|_{\mathcal{X}} = \text{Ber}(\mathcal{X})^{\otimes 2}.$$

Thus the volume form $\widehat{\Omega}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$ induces a volume form on $\mathcal{X}$ which we denote by $\widehat{\Omega}^{1/2}$. A possible problem with taking the square root is overcome with the assumption that $\mathcal{Y}$ is oriented; a clear and explicit construction of $\widehat{\Omega}^{1/2}$ is given by A.S. Schwarz in [8].

As an example, let us consider the case when $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi\Omega^1 Y$, where Y is an n -dimensional compact manifold equipped with a nowhere-vanishing n -form Ω . The latter gives rise, via the isomorphism $\text{Ber}(\mathcal{Y}) \simeq \text{Det}(Y)^{\otimes 2}$, to a volume form $\widehat{\Omega}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$. If x^a is a local coordinates system on Y in which $\Omega = \alpha(x)dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$, then, in the associated local coordinate system $(x^a, \psi_a := \Pi\partial/\partial x^a)$ on $\mathcal{Y}$,

$$\widehat{\Omega} = \alpha^2(x)D^*(dx^a, d\psi_a).$$

In particular, if $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a Lagrangian sub-supermanifold given locally by the equations $x^b = 0, \psi_e = 0, b = r+1, \dots, n, e = 1, \dots, r$, then $\widehat{\Omega}^{1/2} = \alpha(x)|_{\mathcal{X}_{red}}D^*(dx^e, d\psi_b)$.

There is a natural morphism of sheaves,

$$F : \begin{array}{ccc} \Omega^* Y & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \sum w_{a_1 \dots a_k} dx^{a_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{a_k} & \longrightarrow & \sum \alpha(x)^{-1} w_{a_1 \dots a_k} \varepsilon^{a_1 \dots a_k a_{k+1} \dots a_n} \psi_{a_{k+1}} \dots \psi_{a_n}, \end{array}$$

where $\varepsilon^{a_1 \dots a_k a_n}$ is the antisymmetric tensor with $\varepsilon^{1 \dots n} = 1$. One has [12, 8],

$$F(dw) = \Delta F(w),$$

where Δ is the Batalin-Vilkovisky operator on $(\mathcal{Y}, \widehat{\Omega}, \eta)$.

5.2.1. Lemma *Let Y be a manifold Y equipped with a nowhere vanishing volume form Ω and let $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi\Omega^1 Y$. If, for any compact submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$, the function $\Phi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$ is such that*

$$\int_{\Pi N_X^*} \Phi \widehat{\Omega}^{1/2} = 0,$$

then $\Phi = \Delta\Psi$ for some $\Psi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Y}})$.

Proof. We may assume for simplicity that Φ is homogeneous in odd coordinates ψ_a , i.e. that $\Phi = F(w)$ for some k -form on Y . According to A.S. Schwarz [8],

$$\int_{\Pi N_X^*} \Phi \widehat{\Omega}^{1/2} = \int_X w.$$

Since this vanishes for any compact submanifold $X \hookrightarrow Y$, $w = ds$ for some $(k-1)$ -form s on Y . Then $\Phi = F(w) = F(ds) = \Delta F(s)$. $\square$

5.3. Holomorphic volume forms. Let Y be an m -dimensional complex manifold equipped with a nowhere vanishing holomorphic m -form Ω . Then the associated $(m|m)$ -dimensional complex supermanifold³ $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi\Omega_c^1 Y$ comes equipped with two natural odd symplectic structures. The first one is holomorphic and is represented, in a natural local coordinate system $(z^\alpha, \zeta_\alpha := i\Pi\partial/\partial z^\alpha)$, $\alpha = 1, \dots, m$, by the odd holomorphic 2-form

$$\eta_c = d\left(\sum_\alpha dz^\alpha \zeta_\alpha\right) = -\sum_\alpha d(x^\alpha + ix^{\dot{\alpha}}) \wedge d(\psi_{\dot{\alpha}} + i\psi_\alpha),$$

where $z^\alpha = x^\alpha + ix^{\dot{\alpha}}$, $\psi_\alpha = \Pi\partial/\partial x^\alpha$ and $\psi_{\dot{\alpha}} = \Pi\partial/\partial x^{dal}$. The second one is real and comes from the identification of the real $(2m|2m)$ -dimensional supermanifold underlying $\mathcal{Y}$ (which we denote by the same letter $\mathcal{Y}$) with the real cotangent bundle $\Pi\Omega^1 Y$. It is given by

$$\eta = d\sum_\alpha (dx^\alpha d\psi_\alpha + dx^{\dot{\alpha}} \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}).$$

Clearly, $\eta = \text{Im } \eta_c$.

The holomorphic m -form Ω induces, via the isomorphism

$$\text{Ber}_c(\mathcal{Y}) = [\Omega_c^m Y]^{\otimes 2},$$

a holomorphic volume form $\widehat{\Omega}$ on $\mathcal{Y}$.

A compact real $(m|m)$ -dimensional sub-supermanifold $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is called a *real slice* if the sheaf $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathcal{T}\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic to the sheaf of smooth sections of $\mathcal{T}_c \mathcal{Y}|_{\mathcal{X}}$. In this case $\widehat{\Omega}$ induces [1] a smooth section, $\widehat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}}$, of the complexified Berezinian bundle $\mathbb{C} \otimes \text{Ber}(\mathcal{X})$. A real slice $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is called *special* if $\text{Im}(\widehat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}}) = 0$. In this case $\text{Re}(\widehat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}})$ is a nowhere-vanishing real volume form on $\mathcal{X}$. If $\mathcal{X} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is also Lagrangian with respect to the real odd symplectic structure η , then $\eta_c|_{\mathcal{X}}$ is non-degenerate and hence makes $\mathcal{X}$ into an odd symplectic manifold. According to 5.1, the data $(\text{Re}(\widehat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}}), \eta_c|_{\mathcal{X}})$ induces on the structure sheaf of $\mathcal{X}$ a second-order differential operator Δ . Note that if $X \hookrightarrow Y$ is real slice of the manifold Y such that $\text{Im } \Omega|_X = 0$, then the associated sub-supermanifold $\mathcal{X} := \Pi N^* \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a special Lagrangian real slice.

5.3.1. Theorem *Let Y be a complex manifold Y equipped with a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic m -form Ω , X a compact real slice of Y such that $\text{Im } \Omega|_X = 0$, and $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^*$ the associated special Lagrangian real slice in $\mathcal{Y} = \Pi\Omega^1 Y$. Then the Zariski tangent space*

³In this and the next sections the subscript c is used to distinguish holomorphic objects from the real ones. In particular, $\Omega_c^1 Y$ denotes the bundle of holomorphic 1-forms on Y as opposite to $\Omega^1 Y$ which denotes the bundle of real smooth 1-forms on the real manifold underlying Y .

to the moduli space of all possible deformations of $\mathcal{X}$ inside $\mathcal{Y}$ within the class of special Lagrangian real slices is isomorphic to the kernel of the operator $\Delta : \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})/\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$.

Proof. Consider a 1-parameter family, $\{\mathcal{X}_t \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y} \mid t \in \mathbb{R}^{1|0} \text{ or } \mathbb{R}^{0|1}\}$, of special Lagrangian real slices in $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{X}_{t=0} = \mathcal{X}$. Let $z^\alpha = x^\alpha + ix^{\dot{\alpha}}$ be a local coordinate system on Y in which X is given by $x^{\dot{\alpha}} = 0$. Then in the associated local coordinate system $(z^\alpha, \zeta_\alpha := i\Pi\partial/\partial z^\alpha = \psi_{\dot{\alpha}} + i\psi_\alpha)$ on $\mathcal{Y}$, the equations of $\mathcal{X}_t \hookrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ are

$$x^{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}}, \quad \psi_\alpha = -\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha}$$

for some 1-parameter family of smooth functions $\Phi = \Phi(x^\alpha, \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}, t)$ satisfying the boundary condition $\Phi(x^\alpha, \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}, 0) = 0$. The image of $\partial/\partial t$ under the extended Kodaira map is represented by the function (see Lemma 2.4.1)

$$k_{t=0} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} \Big|_{t=0} \equiv \Psi.$$

If $\widehat{\Omega} = \rho(z^\alpha, \zeta_\alpha) D^*(dz^\alpha, d\zeta_\alpha)$ for some holomorphic function $\rho(z^\alpha, \zeta_\alpha)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}_t} &= \rho \left(x^\alpha + i \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}}, \psi_{\dot{\alpha}} - i \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \right) D^* \left(d \left(x^\alpha + i \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}} \right), d \left(\psi_{\dot{\alpha}} - i \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \right) \right) \\ &= \rho \left(x^\alpha + i \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}}, \psi_{\dot{\alpha}} - i \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha} \right) \text{Ber} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_\beta^\alpha + i \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial x^\beta \partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}} & \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} \\ \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial\psi_{\dot{\beta}} \partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}} & \delta_\beta^\alpha - i \frac{\partial^2\Phi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial\psi_{\dot{\beta}}} \end{pmatrix} D^*(dx^\alpha, d\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\text{Im}(\widehat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}_t})}{dt} \Big|_{t=0} &= \frac{1}{\rho_0} \sum_\alpha \left(\frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}} \frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial x^\alpha} - \frac{\partial\Psi}{\partial x^\alpha} \frac{\partial\rho_0}{\partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}} + 2\rho_0 \frac{\partial^2\Psi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}} \right) \rho_0 D^*(dx^\alpha, d\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}) \\ &= (\text{div } H_\Psi) \text{Re } \widehat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}} \\ &= (\Delta\Psi) \text{Re } \widehat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_0 = \text{Re } \rho(x_\alpha, \psi_{\dot{\alpha}})$. Hence $\Delta\Psi = 0$. $\square$

§6. Existence of the extended moduli space of special Lagrangian submanifolds

6.1. Initial data. Let X be a compact special Lagrangian submanifold of a Calabi-Yau manifold Y equipped with the Kähler form ω and a holomorphic volume form Ω , and let $\mathcal{X} = \Pi N^* \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ be the associated special Legendrian sub-supermanifold of the contact supermanifold $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ (see §1). With these data one naturally associates the moduli superspace $\mathcal{M}$ of all deformations of $\mathcal{X}$ inside $\widehat{\mathcal{Y}}$ within the class of special Legendrian sub-supermanifolds.

6.2. Proposition. *The Zariski tangent superspace to $\mathcal{M}$ at $\mathcal{X}$ is canonically isomorphic to $\Pi\mathcal{H}^*(X, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. It is not hard to check that under the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} = \Omega^*X$ the Batalin-Vilkovisky operator $\Delta : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}$ goes into $2*d*$, where d is the de Rham differential and $*$ is the Hodge duality operator. Then Theorems 4.3.1 and 5.3.1 imply that the Zariski tangent superspace is isomorphic to

$$\Pi\Gamma(X, \Omega^*X_{\text{closed}}) \cap \Pi\Gamma(X, \Omega^*X_{\text{coclosed}}) = \Pi\mathcal{H}^*(X, \mathbb{R}). \quad \square$$

6.3. Theorem. *$\mathcal{M}$ is a smooth supermanifold.*

Proof (after McLean [5]). Let $\mathcal{V}$ be a tubular neighbourhood of the zero section in $\Pi\Omega^1\mathcal{X}$, $\mathcal{U}$ a tubular neighbourhood of $\mathcal{X}$ in $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $\exp : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ the normal exponential map constructed as in section 4.2. This map identifies nearby (to $\mathcal{X}$) special Legendrian sub-supermanifolds $\mathcal{X}_f$ of $\hat{\mathcal{Y}}$ with global odd sections f of $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ and induces a diffeomorphism $\exp_f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_f$. Let $\mathcal{V}'$ be an open subset in $\Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}})$ lying in the preimage of $\mathcal{V}$ under the map $d : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \Omega^1\mathcal{X}$. We define a non-linear map

$$\phi : \mathcal{V}' \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}) \longrightarrow \Omega^*X \bigoplus \Omega^*X$$

as follows

$$\phi(f) = \left(\exp_f^*(\hat{\omega}), \quad \text{Im} \left(\frac{(p \circ \exp_f)^*(\hat{\Omega}|_{p(\mathcal{X}_f)})}{\text{Re}(\hat{\Omega}|_{p(\mathcal{X})})} \right)^{1/2} \right),$$

where $p : \hat{\mathcal{Y}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y} = \Pi\Omega^1Y$ is the natural projection. The square root in the above formula always exists (cf. section 5.2). Note that $\phi^{-1}(0, 0) = \mathcal{M}$.

It follows from Lemma 4.2.3 that $\exp_f^*(\hat{\omega}) \in \Omega^*X$ is a closed differential form. Replacing f with tf , we see that the map $\exp_f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}}$ is homotopic to the inclusion $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{Y}}$. Therefore, denoting by $[\]$ the cohomology class, we get $[\exp_f^*(\hat{\omega})] = [\hat{\omega}|_{\mathcal{X}}] = 0$ and conclude that $\exp_f^*(\hat{\omega})$ is an exact differential form on X .

Since $\hat{\Omega}$ is holomorphic, the integral $\int_{p(\mathcal{X}_f)} \hat{\Omega}|_{p(\mathcal{X}_f)}$ depends only on the homology class of $\mathcal{X}_{\text{red}}$ in Y [1]. Analogously, for any compact $(r|m-r)$ -dimensional Lagrangian⁴ sub-supermanifold $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathcal{X}_f$, the integral $\int_{\mathcal{Z}} \hat{\Omega}^{1/2}$ (and hence its real and imaginary parts) depends only on the homology class of $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{red}}$ in Y . Since $\mathcal{Z}_{\text{red}}$ is homologous to an r -dimensional cycle in $\mathcal{X}$ and $\text{Im}(\hat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{X}}^{1/2})$ vanishes, we conclude that $\int_{\mathcal{Z}} \text{Im}(\hat{\Omega}^{1/2}) = 0$ for any such $\mathcal{Z}$. Thus, for any smooth cycle $Z \hookrightarrow X \subset Y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{\mathcal{Z}_f} \text{Im}(\hat{\Omega}^{1/2}) \\ &= \int_{\Pi N_Z^*} \left(\frac{(p \circ \exp_f)^* \text{Im}(\hat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{Z}_f}^{1/2})}{\text{Re}(\hat{\Omega}|_{\Pi N_Z^*})^{1/2}} \right) \text{Re}(\hat{\Omega}|_{\Pi N_Z^*})^{1/2} \\ &= \int_{\Pi N_Z^*} \text{Im} \left(\frac{(p \circ \exp_f)^*(\hat{\Omega}|_{\mathcal{Z}_f})}{\text{Re}(\hat{\Omega}|_{\Pi N_Z^*})} \right)^{1/2} \text{Re}(\hat{\Omega}|_{\Pi N_Z^*})^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

⁴with respect to the odd symplectic structure induced on $p(\mathcal{X}_f)$ from the holomorphic odd symplectic structure on $\mathcal{Y}$, see section 5.2

where $\mathcal{Z}_f := p \circ \exp_f(\Pi N_Z^*)$ and we used the fact that Z and $(\mathcal{Z}_f)_{red}$ are homologous in Y . By Lemma 5.2.1 and the fact that in our case $\Delta = *d*$, the integrand of the last integral is a coexact differential form in Ω^*X .

Thus we proved that ϕ maps $\mathcal{V}' \subset \Omega^*X$ into the subset

$$\Omega^*X_{exact} \bigoplus \Omega^*X_{coexact} \subset \Omega^*X \bigoplus \Omega^*X.$$

Put another way, as a map from $C^{1,\alpha}$ differential forms on X to exact and coexact $C^{0,\alpha}$ differential forms, ϕ is surjective. Then, by the Banach space implicit function theorem and elliptic regularity, the extended moduli space $\mathcal{M} = \phi^{-1}(0,0)$ is smooth with tangent space at 0 canonically isomorphic to the kernel of the following operator (see the proofs of Theorems 4.3.1 and 5.3.1),

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \phi(tf) \right|_{t=0} = (d, *d*) : \Omega^*X \longrightarrow \Omega^*X \bigoplus \Omega^*X,$$

which is precisely $\Pi H^*(X, \mathbb{R})$. $\square$

Acknowledgement. It is a pleasure to thank A.N. Tyurin for valuable discussions.

References

- [1] M. Alexandrov, M. Kontsevich, A. Schwarz and O. Zabolonsky, *The geometry of the master equation and topological quantum field theory*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A12** (1997), 1405-1430; hep-th/9502010.
- [2] E. Getzler, *Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras and two-dimensional topological field theories*, Commun. Math. Phys. **159** (1994), 265-285; hep-th/9212043.
- [3] N.J. Hitchin, *The moduli space of special Lagrangian submanifolds*, dg-ga/9711002.
- [4] K. Kodaira, *A theorem of completeness of characteristic systems for analytic families of compact submanifolds of complex manifolds*, Ann. Math. **75** (1962), 146-162.
- [5] R.C. McLean, *Deformations of calibrated submanifolds*, Duke University preprint, January 1996.
- [6] S.A. Merkulov, *Existence and geometry of Legendre moduli spaces*, Math. Z. **226** (1997), 211-265.
- [7] D.R. Morrison, *The geometry underlying mirror symmetry*, In Proc. European Algebraic Geometry Conf. (Warwick, 1996); alg-geom/9608006.
- [8] A. Schwarz, *Geometry of Batalin-Vilkovisky quantization*, Commun. Math. Phys. **155** (1993) 249-260; hep-th 9205088.
- [9] A. Schwarz, *Semiclassical approximation in Batalin-Vilkovisky formalism*, Commun. Math. Phys. **158** (1994) 265-285; hep-th/9210115.
- [10] A. Strominger, S.-T. Yau and E. Zaslow, *Mirror symmetry is T-duality*, Nucl. Phys. B **479** (1996), 243-259.
- [11] A.N. Tyurin, *Special Lagrangian geometry and slightly deformed algebraic geometry*, math.AG/9806006.
- [12] E. Witten, *A note on the antibracket formalism*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A5** (1990), 487.
- [13] C. Vafa, *Extending mirror conjecture to Calabi-Yau with bundles*, hep-th/9804131.

Department of Mathematics, Glasgow University
15 University Gardens, Glasgow G12 8QW, UK
e-mail: sm@maths.gla.ac.uk